



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/711,308

09/09/2004

Phillip Kent Niccum

04-10

5307

32583 7590 05/01/2008

KELLOGG BROWN & ROOT LLC

ATTN: Christian Heausler

4100 Clinton Drive

HOUSTON, TX 77020

EXAMINER

BOYER, RANDY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1797

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/01/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/711,308	Applicant(s) NICCUM ET AL.	
	Examiner RANDY BOYER	Art Unit 1797	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Randy Boyer. (3)_____.

(2) Robb Edmonds, Attorney for Applicant. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 14 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Discussion relevant to all claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: Primarily Parker (US 4,692,311) and Simpson (US 7,108,138); other references cited by Examiner in the Office Action mailed 28 December 2007 were also briefly noted.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorney noted features of Applicant's claims alleged to be distinguishing from Parker and Simpson. No agreement was reached with respect to patentability of any of the pending claims..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Glenn Caldarola/ SPE AU1797

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required